Exhibit 13

```
1
         IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
 2
               NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 3
                   SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
 4
 5
     WAYMO, LLC,
                                )
 6
               Plaintiffs,
 7
               - vs -
                               ) Case No.
8
     UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., ) 3:17-cv-00939
9
     OTTOMOTTO LLC; OTTO
                               )
10
     TRUCKING, LLC,
11
               Defendants.
12
13
14
15
          VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF JOHN BARES,
16
     a witness, called by the Plaintiff for examination,
17
     in accordance with the Federal Rules of Civil
18
     Procedure, taken by and before Tammie Elias, RPR and
19
     Notary Public in and for the Commonwealth of
20
     Pennsylvania, at the office of Reed Smith, 225 Fifth
21
     Avenue, Suite 1200, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, on
22
     Friday, June 16, 2017, commencing at 9:05 a.m.
2.3
     JOB No. 2640097
24
     PAGES 1 - 317
25
                                                   Page 1
```

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 2199-8 Filed 11/13/17 Page 3 of 6

1		week of May, yes, May of 2017.	09 : 24a
2	BY M	R. JUDAH:	09 : 24a
3	Q.	You referred earlier to consulting work	09 : 24a
4		Mr. Levandowski did starting in late April,	09 : 24a
5		early May 2016; is that correct?	09 : 24a
6	Α.	Correct.	09 : 24a
7	Q.	Before that time, had Mr. Levandowski done any	09 : 24a
8		work for Uber?	09 : 25a
9	Α.	Not that I'm aware of.	09 : 25a
10	Q.	Can you elaborate on the consulting work	09 : 25a
11		Mr. Levandowski did between late April, early	09 : 25a
12		May and August 2016?	09 : 25a
13	Α.	It was quite comprehensive. He would in	09 : 25a
14		his role he examined everything we were doing,	09 : 25a
15		from sensors to automotive OEM partners to	09 : 25a
16		software design, to mapping, to labeling,	09 : 25a
17		everything in the self-driving effort.	09 : 25a
18		Reviewed all of those pieces, gave comments	09 : 25a
19		and suggested, suggested change of direction,	09 : 25a
20		paths forward, how to improve what we were	09 : 25a
21		doing and gain higher speed.	09 : 26a
22	Q.	Was Mr. Levandowski's consulting work, that	09:26a
23		included Lidar; correct?	09:26a
24	Α.	Yes. Yes, it did.	09:26a
25			09 : 26a
			Page 19

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 2199-8 Filed 11/13/17 Page 4 of 6

1			09 : 26a
2	Q.	And what contract are you referring to?	09:26a
3	Α.	A contract that I believe well, sorry, not	09:26a
4		at that time. I'm off by several months. So	09:26a
5		maybe rephrase the question.	09:26a
6	Q.	Well, I was following up on you said that at	09 : 26a
7		the time Uber was under contract	09:26a
8		?	09 : 26a
9	Α.	So that correct.	09 : 26a
10			09 : 26a
11			09:27a
12			09 : 27a
13			09 : 27a
14			09:27a
15	Q.	Have you seen that contract?	09:27a
16	Α.	I've not seen the final contract, no.	09:27a
17	Q.	You have seen drafts of that contract?	09 : 27a
18	Α.	I have.	09 : 27a
19	Q.	Do you know what was signed?	09:27a
20	Α.	I have not seen it, so I guess I don't know if	09:27a
21		it was signed. I certainly assume it was.	09:27a
22	Q.	What else can you tell me about that contract?	09 : 27a
23		MR. BRILLE: Objection, form.	09 : 27a
24	Α.	So I don't know the final form. I know when I	09:27a
25		last saw the substantive contract would have	09:27a
			Page 20

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 2199-8 Filed 11/13/17 Page 5 of 6

1		technical person	09 : 35a
2			09:35a
3		and as we got into April, that was	09 : 35a
4		pretty much locked.	09 : 35a
5		They would ask me every once in	09 : 35a
6		awhile for a question and what was left was	09 : 35a
7		the terms of	09 : 35a
8			09 : 36a
9		and for whatever reason my involvement tapered	09:36a
10		down at that point. And it's similar to what	09:36a
11		I have seen before in other agreements, it's	09:36a
12		sort of the last few huge business discussions	09:36a
13		are made at that final hours of the agreement	09:36a
14		and I just wasn't part of that.	09:36a
15	Q.	So getting back to the question I asked a	09:36a
16		moment ago. Has Anthony Levandowski ever been	09:36a
17		an advisor to Travis Kalanick?	09:36a
18		MR. BRILLE: Objection, form.	09:36a
19	Α.	I believe, yes.	09 : 36a
20	BY MR	. JUDAH:	09:36a
21	Q.	In what capacity and when was he an advisor to	09 : 36a
22		Travis Kalanick?	09 : 36a
23		MR. BRILLE: Same objection.	09:36a
24	Α.	I believe he was provided advice to Travis	09:36a
25		from sometime in December or January, December	09:36a
			Page 26

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 2199-8 Filed 11/13/17 Page 6 of 6

1		2015 to January 2016, and subsequently since	09 : 37a
2		then.	09:37a
3	BY M	R. JUDAH:	09 : 37a
4	Q.	Have you ever deleted an e-mail while you were	09 : 37a
5		an Uber employee?	09:37a
6		MR. BRILLE: Objection, form.	09:37a
7	Α.	Yes.	09:37a
8	BY M	R. JUDAH:	09:37a
9	Q.	Why have you deleted e-mails while you were an	09:37a
10		Uber employee?	09:37a
11	Α.	I might get 4 or 500 e-mails a day and my way	09:37a
12		of processing e-mails is I delete everything	09:37a
13		that I don't need. Of course it's not	09:37a
14		permanently deleted, I mean I delete it out of	09:37a
15		my inbox. I mean you can go to the delete	09 : 37a
16		folder and it's all there.	09 : 37a
17	Q.	So when you say it's not permanently deleted,	09 : 37a
18		where would those e-mails be?	09 : 37a
19	Α.	I assume that you can go on our Gmail server	09 : 37a
20		and just look in the deleted items folder.	09 : 37a
21	Q.	Have you ever been subject to a litigation	09 : 37a
22		hold while you have been an Uber employee?	09 : 38a
23	Α.	I have.	09 : 38a
24	Q.	Have you ever deleted an e-mail while you were	09 : 38a
25		subject to a litigation hold?	09 : 38a
			Page 27